

REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6-7, and 10 are pending. The specification was objected to because of informalities. Claim 1 was objected to because of various informalities and Claims 1-4, 6-7, and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite.

By this Amendment, Applicants has amended claims 1, 4 and 10. Support for amendments to claim 1, 4 and 10 may be found in the specification and claims as originally filed. No new matter has been added. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims in view of the remarks set forth below.

Specification

The specification was objected to because of informalities throughout the specification. Applicant has amended the specification to correct typographical error.

Informalities

The Examiner made several objections to Claim 1. Applicant believes that the informalities objected to have been corrected in Claim1.

35 USC §112 Rejections

The Examiner rejected Claim 1 and dependent claims for alleged vagueness based on lack of antecedent basis and other informalities. As amended Claim 1 clearly defines the variables; and the intermediates in Claim 10 have clear antecedent basis from Claim 1. As amended, Claim 4 does not depend from Claim 1. Thus, the amendments to the claims obviated the rejection.

It is believed all claims are now in condition for allowance, which is respectfully solicited. The Examiner is authorized to deduct additional fees believed due from our Deposit Account No. 50-4771.

Respectfully submitted,

KAPLAN GILMAN & PERGAMENT LLP  
1480 Route 9 North, Suite 204  
Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095  
Telephone (732) 636-4500

Dated: October 13, 2009

/Milagros A. Cepeda/  
Milagros A. Cepeda  
(Reg. No. 33.365)